

To me, NATO and the UN both seem like war machines. They are used by powerful people to grab power and create war. This document is a proposal for a peace machine. I'm still working on this, there may be some things that need to be changed.

In the founding documents of both NATO and the UN, we find the purpose stated as "for peace and security". We were warned: When they say, "Peace and security," then sudden destruction will come upon them, like labor pains on a pregnant woman, and they will not escape. (1 Thessalonians 5:3)

Even so, this does not mean the idea was totally wrong. There just needs to be some modifications made to change the concept from a war machine to a peace machine.

The basic idea is that a peace machine will provide a strong united defense force made up of the militaries of multiple nations. It will provide a much stronger defense than each nation can provide on its own. It will have its own decision making bodies, made up of people who have no greed or other motivations for war. Member nations will give up their militaries and their defense budgets to the peace machine, and in return the peace machine will both protect them as well as provide high quality education to their people, which will greatly empower the people and greatly reduce poverty.

It is very advantageous for member nations of a peace machine to promote the idea and try to get more nations to become member nations, because this would increase the strength of their defense system.

Some of the points in this document might seem aggressive, but the purpose is to obtain peace. A military is useless if you are not ready to use it. If we don't fight for our rights, we lose them. If we don't fight for freedom, we lose it. If we are unwilling to fight to protect our nations, we will be attacked. We must therefore make it clear to our enemies that war has consequences.

Which would you rather have for a guard dog? One which barks and growls, but won't attack, and lets thieves steal all you have? Useless for defense, right? One which barks and growls and attacks everyone? Also very bad, because it will get you into big trouble with the neighbors when it attacks his kids. What about a guard dog which is very strong and powerful, yet very gentle and peaceful, who won't bother the neighbors or their children who might pass into your yard, but will chew up an attacker and spit him out.

A military should be like that gentle peaceful guard dog. It is not there to bark and growl at everyone. It needs to be intelligent. It needs to know right from wrong. It needs to be strong, ready and willing to attack when the need arises.

A brief list of advantages:

1. **Much stronger defense.** By joining together the militaries of multiple nations we achieve a much stronger defense. No nation can afford to have a strong enough military to defend itself. If many nations share a military, that results in a super power military defense for an affordable cost.
2. **Peace through Unity.** By removing tiny nations from grasping hands of the powerful war mongers, we prevent war. Division is for conquering, as unity is for peace. Nations make war

when they believe they can win, when the other nation is much weaker. A bully doesn't fight someone of similar strength. They very strongly resist war when there is a balance of power.

3. **Greater strength through love.** Love creates unity. Helping other nations in times of trouble, such as environmental disasters might result in them helping us when we need it, potentially creating an even stronger military defense.

A much larger combined force of helping hands can make a much more memorable difference and much greater friendship bonds.

4. **Greater strength through efficiency.** Certain methods of defense cost very little while going a long way in providing strength. This can result in a dramatically stronger defense for the same cost.
5. **For the prevention of military coups.** As of 2024, there have been 458 successful military coups since 1945, along with many more attempted coups and conspiracies. A nation without a military has no risk of a military coup.
6. **Economic Growth.** The people are the true power of any nation. Strengthen the people and we strengthen the nation. Make the poor rich, and we have more money for military defense. Certain unique rules in the system will likely greatly reduce poverty of the member nations.

The UN is like a strong fence built to protect the sheep, but the fence is full of holes that allow the wolves to get in, while keeping the shepherd and sheep dog out so they can not protect the sheep. The sheep must be protected from the wolves. If we are forced not to fight, we then bottle up anger inside us, which one day will explode and bring the whole world into war. We must remove the desire and need for war.

The lesson that should have been learned from Hitler, is that Hitler was able to grab nations because other nations and people didn't care. To a person full of love, an attack on a stranger is an attack on that person. A person who loves will stand up and fight off an attack on a stranger. Likewise, a nation which loves will fight off an attack on other nations. Yet, we didn't have that when Hitler was grabbing other nations.

The UN has not prevented the USA and other nations from grabbing other nations here and there. The USA uses a more secretive tactic for war, such as sending in CIA agents to stir up hatred and cause revolutions, so that they can install puppet dictatorships. These tactics are just as bad as a military attack, and nations need to be protected against them.

The UN does not fight to protect the little guys. Rather, the UN only fights for USA interests. The USA vetoes all other conflicts. If Hitler was here now and ruling the USA, he'd use the UN to help him take over the world.

A peace machine shall have no nation with veto power.

Peace is not the absence of war, but rather the abundance of unity and love. As Albert Einstein said "Peace cannot be kept by force; it can only be achieved by understanding." Even so, it is also true that

peace does not come from being unwilling to fight, that just makes you weak. Strength is also important, because there are always those who have a lack of understanding.

To achieve peace, we need not only a reasonable balance power, we also need improved understanding, unity and love. Power and intelligence.

A small nation can not afford to have a military powerful enough to protect it from its enemies. However, many small nations together, through pooling of resources, can afford such a military, capable of defending all of them. This is the power of unity. When a bully harasses a little brother, a big brother, out of love for his little brother will deal with the bully and make him stop. This is love and unity. This is how we create peace.

A peace machine will be an independent entity which will provide a united military, made from the defense budgets of many nations. It must protect its member nations, because that is where its budget comes from. The better it protects them, the more nations will join.

Peace machines will mostly utilize many peaceful methods for solving problems. It would use diplomacy, law, and many other peaceful methods. Most important, it will actively use love and friendship, which can be the most powerful and cost effective of weapons. Even so, in some cases, war might be necessary, and the peace machine will rise to those occasions.

It will begin as a single peace machine, but as more and more nations join, it will begin to split into multiple peace machines, to prevent the risk of having too much power in one place. Even so, this will likely not impact its ability to defend, because through love, they will likely act as one in battle.

Unlike the UN, each of these new peace machines will have their own military, and their member nations will not. This removes the decision power to make war from the member nations. Unlike the UN, there will be no bully nation with veto power. A unified military means a much more guaranteed strong military response. When we fight, it is a large combined military, rather than each nation choosing whether or not to fight such as the UN has.

An army made of weak people is a weak army. An army made of strong people is strong. Weak people fight only when they are forced to fight, and even then their goal is to live so they prefer to surrender rather than fight. A forced army is a weak army. Strong people choose to fight when the cause is just and will do anything to win. Strong people think about how to achieve the most value in their fighting, how to inflict the most damage on their enemy. Weak people only think about how to survive.

Let us therefore not only combine our militaries to create a much stronger military, but also seek to make our people stronger in every way possible, to make our military even stronger. For that purpose, in this document there are two lists for member nations. One is a list of requirements, things they must do. The other is a list of recommendations. Things that are not required, but recommended in order to make their people and their nation strong.

Weak people is our problem. Bullies pick on weak people and weak nations. Strong people make strong nations which bullies won't pick in.

### **How do we prevent corruption?**

1. **War is only initiated by law.** Peace machines don't start war. They only end them. War must be started by other nations, and peace machines will determine whether or not war has been started through predefined rules.
2. **Patience.** In addition, peace machines shall be ruled by calm level heads. Whenever possible, action shall be delayed, often for many months, providing time for investigation of the real cause and preparations and fear to overtake the attacking nation. This can also give the people of the enemy nation a chance to remove their leadership.

A single attack on a military vessel, for example, might have been justified. The Gulf of Tonkin incident is a classic example. The USA was already actively involved in acts of war against North Vietnam. The reason the USA had a ship in the area was to engage in acts of war. Therefore, even if North Vietnam sunk it, it would be not be considered an act of war by a peace machine, because we reserve that term for acts that initiate war in peace time.

3. **Transparency.** The leadership of these peace machines will all be almost entirely transparent. All discussion and communication in full view, so the people can see what is going on. The only exceptions will be in technology, and war time decisions, both of which are only temporarily secret. These decisions are not kept secret from the leadership of the other peace machines though, unless they are engaged in war against each other, which is very unlikely. All peace machines will share military technology, and all member nations will produce military equipment for them, and keep that technology secret from non-member nations and people.
4. **Freedom of Conscience.** All soldiers have the freedom to choose not to fight. In times of war, a unique military draft which gives soldiers freedom of conscience is called. This draft forces all men 20 years old an upward to make a decision of whether to join the fight or not. If they believe the cause is unjust, they simply pay one penny, just a tiny token fee. If they don't have a penny, it will be provided for them. If they pay the penny out of fear, their mind interprets this as their value being less than a penny. The small token fee means they are not financially supporting a cause they do not believe in, so we don't go against their conscience in that way either. Conscientious objectors will also be allowed to join in a non-fighting mode without paying the penny, because they may believe the cause is just but refuse to kill people.

This same military draft concept was used two times that I know of in the Bible. The first time, all but two paid the penny, because they were fresh out of slavery and were cowards. The second time no one paid the penny and they had to utilize another method to reduce the size of the army, and with that smaller army they wiped out the enemy and won the war without a single lost soldier.

This system requires that the people believe in the cause. If the people believe the cause is not just, they will not fight. So this strongly helps to prevent corruption while also creating a much stronger army for defense.

5. **Peaceful uncorrupted people.** The decision makers in the peace machines shall live a special way of life that will remove most mechanisms by which they might be controlled or influenced,

to ensure that only the best uncorrupted intelligent and well informed people will be making decisions.

6. **Division.** To prevent excessive power in any one place, where it can become a danger to the world, these peace machines will divide and redistribute their power when necessary, so that no single peace machine ever has more than 12% of the world's military power. This can result in up to 12 peace machines spread through out the world, with a little tolerance to reduce how often redistribution of power is needed.

This is not likely to have much effect on the power of this system to bring peace to the world, since they will most likely all go to war together, out of love. This does however open up the possibility of them fighting, because corruption might make it necessary, but this risk is necessary to prevent global take over by a single possibly harmful entity.

7. **Secrecy.** In the event that a peace machine shows signs of corruption, other peace machines may keep secrets from that peace machine.
8. **Strong people.** Most people don't understand this, but the most important thing for prevention of corruption is strong people. Strong people fix problems and eliminate corruption.

In the UN and most nations, soldiers are forced to fight. Even those who join the military voluntarily, didn't join because they believe in the cause, they joined for money and education. They join in times of peace never expecting to go into actual battle, and when they are thrown into battle, they are not much different than drafted soldiers. The goal of each soldier in such an army is to survive and avoid getting punished and get a paycheck. This creates a weak pathetic army.

The peace machines will have strong fully committed soldiers, who freely chose to fight. Each has a mind of his own actively working on how to have the strongest impact. These soldiers will be extremely determined to win. Their goal is to win the war, and they'll do anything to achieve that goal. This makes for an extremely strong army and an almost guaranteed victory in any battle.

Peace machines will also use two leadership systems in the military. One is the standard pyramid system of top down leadership. The other is a more leaderless system, where the soldiers are free to make their own decisions. This freedom means they don't cower to authority, but rather retain full strength of a free person. This also makes them like unpredictable loose cannons to the enemy. Extremely dangerous. They have much greater freedom to use their minds as well.

In this new modern age, fighting with guns is largely obsolete. Extremely expensive war machines are also largely obsolete. In this new modern age, the mind is the most powerful weapon. A \$300 million jet fighter can be shot down by a \$20 missile, made by someone with a brain. Therefore, having soldiers who are extremely determined to win, who will use their brains, is of utmost importance in this new modern age.

### **The philosophy of force.**

Leaders often try to rule through force. This philosophy makes them try to weaken the people, so that they can be ruled. This results in a weak nation and a weak military defense. It also closes the door to the most cost effective method of defense there is. The people.

We need to change our thinking about laws, to move away from force and towards encouraging people to do the right thing and tolerating things we disagree on, but may be wrong on. We need to focus more on freedom. Freedom makes people strong.

To have a powerful and wealthy nation, we need powerful people. Power generates wealth and happiness, which generates more power. We need leaders who rule through love. Leaders the people love and support. Leaders who help empower the poor and make all the people more powerful. Leaders who are not parasites on the people, weakening them and weakening the nation.

### **How decisions are made**

Decisions in peace machines shall be made based on laws set forth in this document.

The main decision making body is the Main Counsel. The Main Counsel is made up of 50 women and 50 men, with nationality, race, religious and cultural diversity that fairly closely matches the makeup of member nations.

Main Counsels don't really have a lot of actual decision making power. They don't control the nations at all. Their decisions are pretty much restricted to the beginning and end of wars and how we can help people and nations. Even so, peace machines shall utilize a very low cost and yet high quality decision making body. High paid workers are of no value, because they are ruled by greed. Rather, we need unselfish people who will do what is right, not what makes them money.

Main Counsels make decisions through voting, and decisions require 50% majority.

In addition to the council, there are two other similar decision making bodies. One, called the Bias Counsel, which exists for the purpose of pointing out and compensating for bias. Bias is almost unavoidable in decision making, and bias is one of the biggest enemies of intelligent decision making. Therefore, if we want intelligent decision making, we need to try to eliminate or at least compensate for bias.

The other decision making body is called the Devil's Advocate Body. Its purpose is to try to understand the root cause of wars. To seek and find motivations and justifications which resulted in the actions that create war. Also, to seek and find these anything that might in the future lead to war, so that we can eliminate the problem before it starts.

While technically, everyone should be involved in trying to remove bias from their own decisions and in seeking to understand the motivation of the actions of others, these two bodies are to enhance this by specializing in those areas.

The Main Counsel shall also select 10 men and 10 women, for both the Bias Counsel and Devil's Advocate Body. This selection shall also be with some attempt at diversity, but more importantly they should be as free of bias as possible, extremely fair and diplomatic, intelligent, mild and kind hearted people.

This group is for the purpose of ensuring that decision making is fair and unbiased. Information sent for voting shall be checked by these decision making bodies and reworded to remove bias and help people understand what might be their motivations and be as truthful as possible, adding whatever information is needed to make a good decision. For speed, they can split into 4 groups of 5 and then have those 4 groups merge the result when they are done.

If they believe the voters are unfairly biased the Bias Counsel can also add up to 15% to the decision threshold, so that 65% majority is required rather than 50%.

### **Peace Community**

All members from these Counsels shall live in a special community for 5 years before they become members of any of these decision making bodies (except for the first ones). This community is a sort of shared income commune. This will eliminate greed, so these people will not be influenced by money. This will also ensure that these people are working for the good of mankind, rather than for personal gain. That these people have given their lives to this mission, to ensure peace and a strong defense.

All members of this community must swear an oath that in some circumstances, they would kill someone and believe it is right to do so. If they are unwilling, a different applicant is chosen. Extreme pacifists in these decision making bodies would prevent the ability to maintain peace through defense.

"You can't truly call yourself 'peaceful' unless you're capable of great violence. If you're not capable of violence, you're not peaceful, you're harmless". -- Dave Leduc.

An exception to this oath, would be that some international peace activists and peace leaders who have shown strong interest in peace, such as myself, may be invited to join, and they would not need to swear this oath, however, without that oath, they would not be allowed to be a part of any of the decision making bodies.

People who wish to join this community shall be selected at random from applicants, while maintaining diversity. This randomness is to help prevent corruption and also help prevent excessively shared vision. Good decision making requires some diversity. 100 people who all think alike is no different than having one person making decisions.

In this community, they will live a modest semi self reliant lifestyle. All members of this community will be able to do peace work as soon as they arrive.

They can bring no more than \$50,000 (adjusted for inflation) to this community. They must be willing to live a modest lifestyle. Those requiring expensive toys have their minds in a different place.

Mechanisms of control must be removed. The members can have no debt and can not take part in any trading or business ventures of any kind, other than what their community does, which can only bring in a modest income for the community. Anyone with a strong need of money, being at risk of accepting bribes must be removed from the decision making bodies, or if it is a permanent risk, removed from the community. A member can, however, engage in a business venture for world peace or the good of mankind, as long as all present and future profits goes to the peace machine.

Members must grow their own food and build their own houses, with the help of the community. Unlike a typical commune, they will be allowed two weeks of vacation and a \$3,000 family vacation budget per year (adjusted for inflation), which is paid for by the peace machine.

When travel is required for peace work, those expenses shall be covered by the peace machine but those costs must be kept fairly low. Members are allowed to take their spouses and children on such trips, and those costs are also covered, as long as it does not become too excessive. The Main Counsel might revoke or limit these travel expenses to those who abuse this. The 10 community members who spend the least on travel shall also be able to revoke and/or set limits on travel expenses.

The Main Counsel shall set the overall budget for the money of the peace machines. This should also include some going to individual expense accounts, to create more freedom in decision making and make sure that those who really know what is needed have the ability to see that those needs are met.

Health care shall be provided by military doctors or other members of the community. Vaccines shall not be required, nor encouraged.

To reduce the need to work for money, and allow more work for peace projects, members of the community shall be given \$10,000 the first year for building materials and getting settled, and then \$5,000 a year after that. They shall not pay taxes. This is very easily enough to live on in such an environment. An additional \$5,000 per year for each family, which shall go to purchasing things for the community, for all members to use.

The population of this community should be around 200 families, each family having use of 0.25 acres to live on and grow food, with an additional 100 acres belonging to the community. It should be a reasonably nice place where they can swim and enjoy life. Members who are difficult to live with can and should be removed from the community by a vote.

The entire purpose of the community is to have a selection of decent hard working and selfless people, capable of making the right decisions about war and peace. Unselfish people who put the needs of the many above their own needs. Therefore, people who don't fit this criteria should not be allowed to stay and may be voted out.

The location of the community should be fairly well protected. It should also have escape routes and deep underground shelters, where the entire community can go quickly if needed, so they can make decisions without fear.

A peace machine with less than 10 member nations can have a Main Counsel of only 5 men and 5 women, and a Bias Counsel and Devil's Advocate body of 1 man and 1 woman for each member nation it has. For example, 5 member nations would require a Main Counsel with 25 men and 25 women and a 10 member Bias Counsel and Devil's Advocate body. The commune shall also be smaller in population, having 20 families per nation. The size, however should not change.

The location should be somewhat centralized and be a nice place to live. The Main Counsel can choose to move the community to a new location. Also, additional land near the community should be provided to allow similar communes to exist, for the purpose of research into better systems of society that could result in world peace.

For example, I actually have a plan for new type of commune which I believe will bring about World Peace, and it is even mentioned in the Bible, so it seems destined to be the future of mankind.

As new nations join, they get new members into three decision making bodies immediately, as long as those members make up less than 25% of any of those bodies (except during the first 5 years).

When not voting all members of the community shall be engaged in work seeking ways to bring peace to the world. This includes ways of empowering our own people and also the people of other nations. Making friends with other nations. Getting other nations to join.

### **The decisions regarding acts of war**

These Main Counsel shall make a decision deciding if some action is considered an act of war, requiring a 50% majority. This is a definition ruling only and does not require any further action. A yes ruling would mean that a state of war exists, and wartime rules and laws would apply in the peace machine and all member nations. This decision should not take into account the military power of the enemy. Giving aid to the enemy, for example, is not allowed.

All soldiers who are able to vote, make up another decision making body, which shall vote to make a decision deciding if some action is considered an act of war. They shall also vote on whether or not to actually use military action, however, that vote shall wait for the time set by the Main Counsel, unless there there is immediate need for war.

If either of these two bodies rules the act is an act of war, a state of war exists. The Main Counsel shall make decisions regarding whether or not military force shall be used and how urgent it is. The Main Counsel can also make the decision to end military force, if a war turns out to be insanity or risk of excessive escalation is to great.

If we can not stop a war, we all die.

If the decision to use military force is given, the male members of the Main Counsel, which voted for military force, shall be required to fight. They shall have no opt out, because they already cast their votes. Those male members who voted against the war are allowed to pay a penny to opt out.

An act of war does not necessarily require immediate military response. In many cases an act of war is a one time attack, which does not include the likelihood of additional attacks. Unless there is a strong reason to expect additional attacks, peace machines shall not engage in immediate retaliatory responses. Rather, they shall typically give several weeks or even months for seeking peaceful solutions. During this time, however the planning of a possible military response must be in process, in case this method of action is chosen.

In addition, investigation should be made as to the actual cause of the act of war. Often an enemy of a nation might try to initiate war through the use of spies, making the other nation take the blame for their own actions.

Before military response is made, there must be a second vote, by both bodies, where the information is provided from the investigation. The investigation is not likely to provide proof of who did it, but

regardless, there may be information about which nations or people stand to benefit from the war and how much they are expected to benefit. This may be considered sufficient as proof of who did it.

If a third party nation has too much to benefit from a peace machine going to war with another nation, that shall be considered a very strong reason not to go to war with that nation. Also, there is never 100% proof of the perpetrator of an act of war. One side gets the blame, and war is begun. Therefore, having too much to benefit from an act of war which has been committed, shall legally be considered an act of war, and can be used as the basis for a decision on whether a state of war exists.

Let this be a deterrent for a third party nation trying to trigger a war with a peace machine and some nation, because it might end up with that third party nation being at war with the peace machine.

Military response can happen as much as 5 years after the activating act of war was committed and the decision of state of war was made.

### **Large Powerful Enemies**

When in a state of war with large powerful nations, member nations may not feel able to stop doing trade with them. Some large powerful nations commit acts of war on a daily basis, thinking they have the right to do so. So being in a state of war with them is pretty much inevitable.

When a large powerful nation commits an act of war against us, we may choose not to take military action, because the enemy is too powerful for us to deal with or it might escalate into nuclear war.

Nations might also suffer if they do not do any business with them. Even so, we must at least impose some sort of taxes on them.

In those cases, member nations will tax both imports and exports with those nations 5%, and give the money to their peace machine, to help with the increased problems those nations impose. In addition, the leadership of the peace machine might request a higher percentage, and its member nations would then vote on that.

Peace requires that each nation has self reliance and does not rely on other nations for their economies to prosper or any other reason. This would help to boost that self reliance and remove the power our enemies have over us.

One example of such a milder act of war, would be sending spies into our member nations or peace machine territories. Spies do not just watch, they steal secrets and technology, they sabotage, they kill people, they cause the blame to be shifted onto others, they start wars. Their presence is an act of war.

When in times of war, a peace machine captures military technology, that technology will permanently be released to the public of all member nations of all peace machines. No member nation will respect any intellectual property used for military purposes obtained from such captures.

Let this be a deterrent to all companies, to allowing the use of their products and technology in weapons of war, in nations which may commit acts of war against us.

Obviously, if a nation sends a spy to capture technology, that technology will get used probably in secret. This is simply an announcement that in such cases, we will use their technology publicly and freely, making no effort to hide it, and not strictly for military purposes. We will also freely use that technology for the benefit of our people and nations as well.

Member nations may also choose include all intellectual property from enemy nations into this, according to their own laws. It is at their own discretion how morally acceptable it is to allow such money to be sent to enemy nations.

Sending royalties to enemy nations should also not be allowed.

Likewise, a state of war affects payments on debt to financial institutions or other companies of the enemy nation. Financial institutions make huge money off of war and off of the hardships that result from war. This often leads them to engage in promoting war and hatred.

Giving money to the enemy is technically treason, however we don't want to punish the poor for the actions of the rich and the nations. Therefore, for loans from outside member nations, where the individual has the potential for some personal harm, the individual is allowed to continue to make payments, and is only discouraged from doing so. For loans from these enemy financial institutions made in any member nation, however, all individuals in the member nations will be required to make their payments to the peace machine instead of the financial institution, as long as the war is in progress. Let this encourage those financial institutions and those holding stock in them to seek peace. All those payments shall be lost to those financial institutions, and they will not collect them later, and if they try to do so and don't mark those payments as made in their records, all future payments will also go to the peace machine.

This includes all financial institutions which have a branch or do business with the enemy nation. A financial institution can free themselves from this if they stop all new activity with people and companies and other entities in the enemy nation. This means in the enemy nation, they can not accept any new loans, nor allow any credit card purchases or out going money of any kind, other than savings and checking accounts, where the money doesn't belong to them. So that non of the money belonging to the financial institution goes to any person, company, or other entity in the enemy nation. They must also provide all their records to the peace machine, to verify that they are doing so.

The use of enemy currency in transactions must not be allowed in any member nation.

In the event the Main Counsel chooses military action, it shall appoint a Commander in Chief for the war based on the skills that may be needed. The Main Counsel shall also keep a list of people it may choose for this role, even in peace times. A Commander in Chief may also be removed by the Main Counsel.

Like all soldiers, the Commander in Chief or any military leader can refuse to be part of a war and replacements would be appointed. Military leaders can not, however, veto the war, only their own personal participation in it.

There will be some rules which member nations are required to follow and also some rules which they are suggested but not required to follow. These rules are intended to make the member nations strong

and self reliant. For example, it would be suggested that all member nations provide all their inhabitants with free use of some land for the purpose of growing food for themselves. This makes a nation much stronger in the event of a war, because the people won't starve in war time.

The power comes from the people. Therefore, the peace machines will try to empower the people where ever possible. Making the people stronger economically, mentally and physically and have more freedom and self reliance, will boost the strength of the peace machines and help bring worldwide peace.

The peace machines would not engage in promoting trade and commerce, because that is a type of warfare. Member nations can do so all they like, but they will not be able to use the peace machines to force their way on other nations. We shall not make war for profit.

For the purpose of this document, the term "member nation" may not always apply to a nation, rather, it applies to any entity that is accepted as a member nation. Entities which have not gotten international status as nations may be accepted. This may even include tribes.

It is very advantageous for member nations to promote and try to get more nations to become member nations, because this would increase the strength of their defense system.

The objective of these peace machines is not to start wars, but rather to finish them. Not by pretending everything is ok, but by ensuring everything is ok.

Peace machines should strive for the removal of the cause of any war that is initiated That can be the removal of the leadership of the attacking nation, or alternatively, an attacking nation could join the peace machine, which would remove their military, which be considered to be equivalent to removal of leadership. A leader might choose this option in order to stay in power, making it much easier for him to agree to it.

Obviously, this is not always possible. Wars are sometimes just temporary insanity, that might start with something as pathetic and two people having a fist fight, that grows and grows until it eventually becomes a nuclear war.

War with a nuclear power is likely to end as a nuclear war unless there is some way to stop the fighting.

The Vietnam War probably came the closest to full blown nuclear war than any war in history. Much closer than almost anyone knows. Operation Fracture Jaw was a plan in which, without President Johnson's knowledge, nuclear weapons were to be moved to South Vietnam for use in the war.

Later, President Nixon ordered Operation Giant Lance, where 18 B-52 bombers were loaded with nuclear bombs and sent towards the Soviet Union. Actions were designed to be detectable by the Soviets, meaning it could have very easily triggered nuclear war.

National Security Advisor Henry Kissinger suggested to President Nixon that he should be prepared to use nuclear weapons in Operation Duck Hook.

This all took place during the Vietnam War. A war with no real value. More of a war for national pride than anything.

If that wasn't bad enough, the Sino-Soviet border conflict had North Vietnam's 2 allies, The Soviet Union and China coming very close to nuking each other, in a conflict that may very well have started as a fist fight and then ending luckily due to the death of North Vietnam's president Ho Chi Minh.

Therefore, we must actively seek non-violent tactics and tactics that don't lead to escalation.

It is widely believed that Mutually Assured Destruction or MAD will prevent nuclear war, however the evidence clearly shows that this is not the case. Even a fist fight can escalate into a nuclear war. We can not put our trust and faith in force. MAD is literally putting our faith and trust in force. Trusting greater force to keep us safe. But these released documents clearly show that our leaders will use nukes anyway. In fact, the only reason we know about these events, is because time has passed and the documents were released. Many other close call events have happened and have just been kept secret.

As I pointed out earlier, we were warned: When they say, "Peace and security," then sudden destruction will come upon them, like labor pains on a pregnant woman, and they will not escape. (1 Thessalonians 5:3)

We can not put our faith in power and force. We can not make war our god. Sure, while we live in this society full of crazy people, we need to be able to defend ourselves, but we must always understand that war is not the answer.

The real solution is to put our faith and trust in love. God is love, so putting our faith and trust in love is the same as putting our faith and trust in God.

Having a united and super powerful military to defend us is actually a somewhat false sense of security. The real goal of the peace machines is to promote love. To help empower the people with free education, so the poor can learn valuable skills. To empower the people and nations with self reliance, so there is less fear and less ways they can be controlled. Teach people and nations to help each other and show the world the power of love.

We must understand and accept that war leads to insanity, and we must put a cap on the insanity.

Therefore, peace machines shall not use weapons capable of killing more than 50,000 people, and no weapons that will leave long term pollution such as radiation. We do not seek to rule the world through fear. We seek only justice and peace for all. It shall be known that no nation can beat us in battle, but it shall also be known that we are fair and just.

If peace machines acquire nuclear weapons, they shall be somewhat disassembled and kept secure. There is always a chance they may be needed against meteors or aliens from another planet or other unforeseen circumstance, but there will be plenty of time to reassemble them if they are needed.

If a peace machine is at risk of losing a war, it shall lose gracefully. Even if it has nuclear weapons, or other weapons of mass destruction, it shall not use them for war, nor to intimidate the enemy. We shall not be like other nations which have in their stated policies that they will use nukes if at risk of losing a war or losing a nation of interest. We are not psychopaths.

An attack with a WMD on any nation is an attack on all nations and people. Nothing justifies such an attack. All nations of the world should immediately stop all transactions with the attacking nation. Continuing would be a betrayal or treason to all of mankind.

Although the peace machines shall not use WMDs, and we can not tell other nations what to do, even so, allow me to recommend to other nations that have nuclear weapons, that if a military response is chosen, the response to an attack does not need to be immediate.

I suggest that response should be delayed if possible several months, to give the attacking nation a chance to surrender or the people of that nation a chance to remove their leadership. The people of that nation should be notified that an impending attack will for sure happen, and the approximate number of people who will for sure be killed. It should also be made clear that if the nation's defenses stop part of the attack, more attacks will follow until the approximate number of people are killed. Let the people of that nation know that this will for sure happen, so they have a chance to eliminate their leaders and prevent the attack.

It is very likely that the economy of that nation would completely collapse and the people would be starving to death, and thousands or even millions of people would make the attempt to eliminate their leaders. If so, they would succeed.

In this way, it is possible that a retaliatory strike will be prevented. Or even better, maybe the knowledge that other nations might do this, might prevent the nuclear attack in the first place. If not, mankind might become extinct, and if so, nothing we ever said or did will matter.

Peace machines should avoid the use of nuclear power in military vessels. This, may however, put them at a big disadvantage, so it shall be allowed. Ideally, however, such vessels should include a power module that can be easily separated in times of danger, to reduce the risk of radioactive environmental pollution. The vessels would obviously operate on non-nuclear power during such separation, and have the ability to operate for a long time on non-nuclear power, so the nuclear power modules would be a very low value military target that only a complete idiot would target.

### **What is considered an act of war?**

We can not achieve peace by fully tying the hands of nations to seek justice. We also can not expect to have 100% proof of any action. When a criminal commits an act, we can have an investigation a court of law to consider the fact, but this is not possible with nations. Wars are started on the basis of strong suspicion and belief as to who did it and why. Even if we have 100% proof that a warship attacked another warship, there is always the possibility that a third party nation paid for the action and that the individuals controlling it were not acting under orders from their leadership. We may only know that something happened. Sometimes, we might not even know if something was a natural disaster or a man made disaster. Never the less, if we create an opportunity for attack without retaliation, we open the door to abuse.

For the most part, the leadership system of the peace machines should prevent unnecessary wars. So it is important that we close the openings that allow bullies to bully, without fear of retaliations.

This list of acts of war must be wide open, to prevent opening the doors to bullying. Never the less, an act of war does not necessarily mean violent action will result. It provides justification if it is necessary. In all these, even if an action is against a non-member nation, we are allowed to choose to show solidarity, therefore we are allowed to choose it as an act of war against ourselves.

1. Member nations can choose to start a war, through a vote of the people, without any listed act of war requirement. The method for this is provided later in this document.
2. A violent attack by a military.
3. Espionage or surveillance.
4. Attempts by nations to stir up violence and rebellion in other nations for the purpose of overthrowing their governments.
5. Providing assistance in any form to a nation at war.
6. Debt, which between nations is very often fraudulent. Member nations should feel free not to pay debt. It is control scam. Any actions resulting from non-payment intended for force payment, other than a bad credit rating, qualify as acts of war.
7. Any restriction on free trade or the normal free flow of resources between nations and people. This includes but is not limited to embargo, sanctions, blockades, unfair taxation, etc.
8. Providing safe haven to those who were responsible for starting a war. If people die, it is only right that those who started it also die.
9. Murder or imprisonment of important people. This falls under the "give them an inch, and they'll take a mile" category, because if it is really about a single person, we shouldn't kill thousands, but if they are going to take and take and take, they need to be stopped.
10. If over 66% of the people of a nation choose to join a peace machine, the refusal by that nation's leadership to join shall be considered an act of war. The peace machine is therefore allowed take appropriate action if necessary to remove that nation's leadership and acquire that nation.

### **Post war violence tolerance.**

It must be understood that other nations are not bound to what peace machines consider to be the end of a war. Actions done by other nations to a nation which started a war, are immune from judgment, even if those nations were not considered to be involved in the war. They may be a result of built up indignation or whatever. They may simply choose to attack because the nation is weak and defenseless. Regardless, peace machines shall not defend them or assist them in any way, unless they choose to join the peace machines, or if they really want to defend them. Defending them would be an act of war. This is simply one of the reasons intelligent people don't start wars. There has to be a reason not to start a war.

Also, if a nation joins a peace machine after or during war, that peace machine has the option of not providing defense, if there is too much indignation involved. If it does defend it, it will use the military

that it got from that nation first. It may choose to try to stop the violence and later decide it isn't worth it. We are not to be used as a shelter against past the consequences of past actions. We all create friends or enemies, and then live with our choices.

### **Right of a member nation to initiate a necessary war**

If 66% of the people of a member nation choose war with another nation, for any reason what so ever, it shall be accepted as a state of war, but there are some exceptions to this. Also, this voting shall be closely monitored, member nations are not allowed to prevent monitoring of these kinds of votes. Any refusal or resistance to monitoring shall make the vote null and void. Both the Bias Counsel and Devil's Advocate body shall have the right to increase decision threshold that by up to another 8% each, if they believe there is a history of bias, not related to the action which triggered the vote, or if they believe the enemy has reasonable justification for their actions.

Some member nations shall not have the option to choose war at all, or shall the option may be excluded to them to choose war against specific nations. In times of peace, the Bias Counsel shall make determinations on various member nations which have excessive hatred towards other nations or excessive superiority feelings. People who believe they are God's chosen people, might seek to kill everyone else. It is one of the responsibilities of the bias council to remove the option to choose war in those nations which show such strong bias or superiority feelings. This removal, however, must take place at least 3 months before such option is used, unless that member nation has been a member for less than a year, in which case it can be blocked even after the vote is taken to choose war.

Nations which joined after or during war with a peace machine shall not have the option to choose war for 10 years.

Even so, this still only results in a state of war, and does not necessarily mean violent action will result. It will also trigger some investigation and a vote by the Main Counsel and a vote by all soldiers (at this point, just a show of solidarity), both of which are likely to take strong consideration into the fact that over 66% of the people of that nation have chosen war, and in addition, to create greater unity and solidarity, those votes shall require only 40%, and also the decision to engage in military combat shall also be reduced by 10%.

### **Requirements of member nations**

Member nations shall contribute a percentage of their GDP starting the average percentage of their military spending for the last 5 years, and no less than 1%. That percentage of GDP shall either increase or decrease an equal amount per year over a 10 year period, ending at 1.5%. Member nations may choose a higher percentage, and of so, the additional amount would be used on education of that nation's people.

Peace machines will provide free education to the young, which will increase their ability to produce income, and will make a nation more wealthy and increase GDP. So additional funding is a good idea.

Starting from the age of 18 (unless they did this earlier), all men and women are required to go through 2 years of military training with the peace machines. This is training only. They are not required to fight or kill. Member nations which opt for additional funding might increase this time requirement.

Member nations can also choose to reduce the starting age, and individuals can choose to do it up to 3 years earlier..

Member nations are required to use the peace machine's military draft, which allows each individual complete freedom of conscience, paying only a token penny to avoid the draft, as described earlier. The peace machines shall call this draft in times of war.

Member nations shall put their entire militaries under the full control of the peace machine they join. This includes all their weapons and military equipment, machines and vehicles of all types. This also includes spy organizations. Spies are considered a military unit.

### **Recommendations for member nations**

Here are some recommendations for member nations. These are not required. It is understood and accepted that each member nation has its own governing philosophies, and might disagree with some of these recommendations.

Member nations should strive for complete self reliance. Depending on other nations for anything creates a control point, which can be used to control a nation. This is a weakness. Member nations should strive for strength. This includes not borrowing money, because that is also a weakness.

Member nations should provide small plots of land, 0.15 acres or more in size, to their people for the purpose of growing food. That land can be taken away if not used for that purpose. They should be allowed to build homes, dig or drill wells and build out houses or septic systems, without restrictions or permits, other than that most of the land is used to produce food. Free piped water should also be available. The rules should be such to enable even the poorest of the poor to grow food and live. This is extremely important for the military strength of nations. Strength comes from the people.

During WW1 and WW2, in the USA, the government promoted that it was each person's duty to have a food growing garden. This was called "Victory Gardens".

Member nations should have regular military style fitness training for all people, to keep them in shape and healthy, and also should include socializing for greater mental health as well. Fun activities which involve physical exercise can be done instead. Community work activities which involve a lot of physical exercise can also be used. People who have more active work where they get plenty of exercise can be excluded. Participation probably should be optional, but highly encouraged and considered a patriotic duty. Military training should also be included.

Many governments in the world have laws that strongly benefit the criminals of the world. Laws that cater to the criminals. They've put the criminals in charge, and they have made things easy for other criminals. They made laws designed to make us weak and defenseless, so that they can better control us, which also makes it easy for street thugs. When our laws tie the people's hands, so they can not fight back, it makes the people weak, and this makes the nation weak.

Laws that protect rapists and other violent criminals. Laws that make it impossible for people to protect themselves, while those governments refuse to protect the people, making it necessary for the people to protect themselves. This is anti-peace.

A strong defense requires a philosophy of strength. If a criminal attacks with a knife, we must have no hesitation to counter attack with a gun. The concept of requiring a lesser weapon is giving aid to the criminals. An attacker has the right to choose not to attack. Once the attack is made, the criminal is then fully responsible for the outcome, and the victim must be totally blameless for any actions taken in defense.

Therefore, member nations should modify their laws so that they do not benefit the criminals in this way, but rather they should allow victims to defend themselves, and also allow victims to protect potential future victims as well. Laws should give much more power to the victims of crimes, which will make the people stronger. Someone who is attacked by a criminal should be free of consequences, even if the criminal ends up dead or permanently disabled. People should not feel defenseless, like their hands are tied, but rather they should feel a responsibility to make sure no one else is attacked. The victims did not choose to become victims. That choice was made for them. Therefore, let the attacker suffer all consequences, from the victim and those who choose to help the victim, and also from the law.

Likewise, love must be encouraged, not punished. Those who show love by helping a victim fight off an attacker, must not be punished in anyway. This is more important than helping victims. People who try to help others must be absolutely free from any possibility of punishment or legal action or suits.

Member nations should require some weekly or bi-weekly community labor projects. These should help get the people used to the idea of working for the common good and helping each other. They should also provide a good social environment for greater mental health of the people.

Member nations should have a system which allows the people to vote out a leader which they do not like. This is important to eliminate the need to kill a leader they do not like. So it is in the leader's best interest to do this.

The loss of all freedoms begins with the loss of certain fundamental human rights. Likewise, the true strength of a nation requires these fundamental rights. We have to assume that any system of society designed by man can fail or be corrupted, and therefore the people need a way to fix things when that happens. For this reason, the 4 most important rights of the people are the freedom of speech, the right to bear arms, and the right to privacy, and freedom of the press.

Member nations should take steps to make sure the press is not only free from government interference, but also that each individual journalist is free to report the truth without any interference from management or anyone. No individual or company should be allowed to own multiple news outlets.

Money and power driven propaganda and dishonest reporting should be severely punished. This must not be construed to apply to the people who must be free to say anything they want, rather it must apply to money influenced lies, and distortions, falsified and deliberately biased research documents, and other instances where money and power are used to make the people believe things which are not true.

When I speak of freedom of speech, I mean the right of every individual, rich or poor, to have a relatively equal voice. The right of the people to have their voices not blocked out or shadowed by money and power. True freedom of speech only exists when the super rich have no greater voice than the super poor.

Member nations should allow their people the right to bear arms. This provides a very strong additional defense against invasion. Weapons should have protection systems that allow only the owner and authorized users to use them, which will protect them from children, but those protection systems must allow the use of the weapons in the event of an EMP and also it must not be possible to disable the weapons remotely. Ideally, those weapons should be provided for free to the people. Those weapons should also mark the bullets with their the weapon's serial number, to prevent their use in crime. Regular inspections can be done to make sure those serial numbers continue to be marked in the bullets and prevent tampering to remove that feature, and that batteries are fresh.

For member nations which allow it, all those who go through military training will be sent home with weapons. This will provide much greater readiness and ability to fight off an attack, at extremely low comparative cost. This will also prevent corruption in those nations and increase their wealth.

Member nations should allow all people of member nations to come and go as they please and to change residence to or from any member nation. This should also be extended to people of any nations which allow this same freedom to all their people. If a nation has immigration rules, we have immigration rules with their people. If a nation allows freedom, we should allow its people freedom.

Member nations should require very strong punishments for violations of human rights. It is not enough to simply say that the people have rights, there must be a strong deterrents in place that punish violations. If the people have to continually fight for their rights, they will give up fighting and become weak. They must have that assurance that when they do fight for their rights, that something will be accomplished by it. Anyone who violates human rights should at very minimum be removed from office permanently, and the death penalty should be a fairly standard practice. This should include violations by companies as well, not just the government.

This should also apply to violations of human rights by social media platforms and other businesses or entities. Any attempt to control the freedom and flow of speech is a very severe violation of human rights and extremely harmful to the people and to the peace and strength of all nations. If social media platforms are banned, it must be for not allowing freedom of speech, not for giving to much freedom. Also, alternative social media platforms must be setup that do allow complete freedom of speech.

If we remove an evil tree, we allow a good tree to grow up in its place. A good tree can not grow if it is shadowed by an evil tree. The evil tree must first be removed.

The UN and other nations do not normally give aid without some sort of control or agenda. Very often, aid is given for the purpose of laundering money. Only good people and nations actually give to help someone, and such people and nations are very rare. Therefor, it is highly recommended that member nations do not accept any sort of aid from non-member nations or the UN or other large powerful organizations. Especially not the WHO and Bill Gates, which are very strongly into population reduction (killing people).

If a rich man offers you money, do you take it? I hope not, because he's probably a loan shark and you'll probably end up with an ax murderer hunting you down. Likewise, nations should not take aid from nations they don't trust.

## **Captured Nations**

Nations which wage war with peace machines, and then later join them in order to stop the war, shall be required to abide by all these recommendations. Also, any such nations which are very large, shall be split into smaller nations. As an example, for a nation like the USA, the federal government would simply be eliminated, as there would be no use for it anyway, due to the shared military. Those separate pieces would likely even be divided into several peace machines, since there is no need for them to be together and actually more risk if they are together.

### **Killing leaders**

One advantage a peace machine has, is the leadership is cut off from the leadership of the nation. So killing the leader of a member nation is of no military value whatsoever. Even killing Counsel member has no military value, because they didn't really start the war. The attacking nation, however, is a totally different story. The leadership of an attacking nation are extremely high value targets.

Is it more morally acceptable to kill young men who are forced into battle without their consent, or to kill those who made the decision to go to war and kill? Obviously, the morally correct thing is to kill those who made the decision.

Let this shall be a deterrent to making war with us. The leaders of any nation that attacks us will die quickly. They are easy targets which can not be defended, other than hiding under ground the whole war.

As a general rule, those leaders shall remain active targets even 20 years after war, and will be eliminated, unless we make actual treaty arrangements that let them off. This includes all those members of a legislative body who voted for the war, as well as lobbyists who promoted the war, and those who used their money to start the war. This also includes the military commanders who followed the orders or even panicked when they should have held their fire. The Main Counsel shall make a list.

This does not include if the people of the general population voted for the war.

### **Requirements for community members**

The purpose of the peace machine communities is to have unbiased, uncontrolled, well informed, good people to make decisions. For this purpose we have certain requirements for those people.

Each family in the community will have a plot of land to live on and grow food. They will do most of the work on growing their food themselves. It is ok if they take turns helping each other, working on one families plot one day and another families plot another day. They will also build their own homes, but again it is not only ok but good if they help each other, working on one families home one day and another families home another day. We want to encourage an atmosphere of working and an atmosphere of sharing and helping each other. It is important that people have a good work ethic, because lazy people make lazy decisions.

Some people are very lazy and want to take much more than they give. The community may vote to remove such people and their families from the community. We seek people who are not lazy at thinking.

It is also the responsibility of the members of the community to talk and learn about what is going on in the world. They should not focus too much time on the politics of any one nation, no matter how powerful that nation is. Rather, they should know a little something about all nations, and focus more attention on areas where they might be able to do some good. Especially in areas where they might find ways of helping the poor. They should also have interest in knowing what is going on in nations which are at risk for war.

Many people don't want to talk about what is going on in the world. They only care about what is going on around them. It is ok if one member of a family is this way, but at least one member of each family must show real interest in the welfare of the entire world. That is the purpose in them being at this community. Otherwise, they should be removed from the community.

Ideally, we should not have members who have bad family relations. If they regularly fight with their spouse or children, or had multiple divorces. These are signs of people who don't understand the concept of peace and love. These are signs of people who don't know, or don't think about, when to fight and when not to fight. Since this knowledge is what we need, this would also be a reason to remove a family or not permit a family to join the community.

### **Military Training**

As mentioned earlier, to achieve peace, we need not only a reasonable balance power, we also need improved understanding, unity and love. We therefore must teach this to our soldiers.

Soldiers are also educated in love and understanding. In doing for the good of mankind and society. In responsibility. They are taught to be decent human beings. Conflict resolution, humility, how to have good lasting relationships, etc. They will be taught valuable skills in addition to how to fight.

They will be taught to recognize attempts other governments might use to get them to hate, so they gain immunity for such attempts. They will be taught to recognize scams to help make them immune to scams.

Their training must be highly diverse, to give them much greater flexibility in battle. They do not need to learn to use every weapon, and soldiers don't all need to know the same things, but they should be able to use a wide variety of weapons that we have as well as our enemies have. In times of battle, they might need to steal an enemy weapon and know how to use it. A group of 20 soldiers should have someone that knows whatever needs to be known.

All soldiers in active training shall actively and continuously trained on what is going on in the world and the problems facing nations that might lead them into war. They shall actively seek solutions to make the lives of other nations better and ways to eliminate problems which might lead them into war. They shall be taught empathy and understanding of other nations.

They must also be taught the responsibility of soldiers.

### **Responsibility of Soldiers**

It is the responsibility of all soldiers to keep corruption and crime out of the military. When soldiers are given orders that go against the rules of the peace machines, it is the responsibility of those soldier to

arrest or kill who ever gave those orders. Soldiers shall be permitted and expected to carry weapons at all times, and taught to wait for the right opportunity to attack.

If an order is given to attack any nations without war first being legally established, soldiers should kill those who gave those orders, along with any who knowingly followed those orders.

If a soldier attempts to rape anyone, it severely tarnishes the good name of the peace machines, and results in hatred, which results in more people being killed. Therefore it is the responsibility of all soldiers to kill that soldier. If it is a group activity, and other soldiers are watching and cheering or goading him on, all those soldiers are to be killed.

Even in war, love must rule. If enemy soldiers hate us, they fight harder and kill more of us. If enemy soldiers find it difficult to hate us, or maybe even find themselves loving us, they will will not fight as hard and will kill less of us.

Hate leads to escalation and nuclear war. Love prevents escalation.

Soldiers also have the responsibility of knowing what is going on in the world, so they can make good decisions.

Neither soldiers nor those in training shall be required to take any vaccines, nor shall vaccines be allowed at all without 66% approval from the Main Council and also 66% approval from member nations for each individual vaccine. Trainees shall not be required vaccines at all.

During a 2010 TED Talk, Bill Gates said, "The world today has 6.8 billion people... headed up to about 9 billion. Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by, perhaps, 10 or 15 percent". All three of those, vaccines, health care, and reproductive health services, should increase the world population, and yet he's saying they will reduce the world population. The only way those three could reduce the world population is through killing people, sterilizing people or making them less fertile. Sterilizing people without their knowledge is must worse than rape.

In fact, in the Bible, we see the statement "for by thy sorceries were all nations deceived." The word "sorceries" was translated from the word "pharmakeia" meaning medication ("pharmacy").

Do we put our trust in God or do we put our trust in those who seek to make a profit? Do we really want to form a defense starting by showing God our lack of trust?

If anything, we need God by our side, in protecting us from mistakes that could lead to nuclear war.

This doesn't stop member nations from poisoning their people. It only stops it in the peace machines.

Tony Bondhus  
[tony1@worldpeacechurch.org](mailto:tony1@worldpeacechurch.org)

[worldpeacechurch.org](http://worldpeacechurch.org)  
[conceivia.com](http://conceivia.com)